



University
of Victoria

Graduate Studies

Notice of the Final Oral Examination
for the Degree of Master of Arts

of

COLE FREEMAN

BA (University of Victoria, 2021)

“The Technological Appropriation of Time”

Department of Sociology

Thursday, May 4, 2023

11:00 A.M.

Cornett Building

Room A317

Supervisory Committee:

Dr. Peyman Vahabzadeh, Department of Sociology, University of Victoria (Supervisor)

Dr. Steve Garlick, Department of Sociology, UVic (Unit Member)

Dr. Nina Belmonte, Department of Philosophy, UVic (Non-unit Member)

External Examiner:

Dr. William Little, Environment, Culture & Society, Thompson Rivers University

Chair of Oral Examination:

Prof. Michael Eby, School of Earth and Ocean Sciences , UVic

Abstract

According to Martin Heidegger, the essence of modern technology (*ge-stell*) is a way of revealing beings through a challenging-forth—that is, by having humans engage with nature in a reductive, technologically-mediated way. This thesis builds on this phenomenological understanding of being by extending the concept of the “challenging-forth” to include *time* and *temporality*. A close inspection shows that technology is predicated upon *denying the amount of time taken to enact the challenging-forth* in production. Technological logic reproduces itself through each instantiation of the challenging-forth, and this is what constitutes *progress*. In our age, technological logic is *universal*, meaning that it is true for *all* beings. Technology’s *totalitarian* reach reduces everything that the human can *say*, *think*, and *do* into a technological purview. This is what I seek to investigate in asking the following research question: *How does technology reproduce itself through the human?* This question, among others, constitutes the thrust of this thesis, which suggests that technology enforces a primordial *way-to-be* for the human, characterized by the manner of *continuous running along*, *busyness*, and the heightened *concern* for *time*. Technology brings us into close relations with time such that our temporality is *structured* in concert with gadgetry and other means, which has noteworthy consequences for the *phenomenological experience* of everyday life. Our *concern* with time is the residue of a technological logic that has enveloped the human—we have inherited this obsession in virtue of our *thrownness* into this age. Finally, this thesis poses the question: *how can we let time be?* We must disembark from technologically mediated *concerns* by learning how to let go of our obsession with time. The significance of this thesis is twofold. First, because it offers an initial, novel extension to Heidegger’s phenomenology; second, this analysis forces the attentive reader to *slow down* and observe the patterns of technology unfolding all around them. The multi-pronged approach of this thesis will serve as a benchmark for coming to grips with the bustling pace of life in the technological age.

Key Terms: technology, temporality, challenging-forth, revealing, nature, time, anti-humanism, letting-be, everyday life.