



**University  
of Victoria**

## **UVic CES Faculty Report for Courses Offered in EN (201801)**

### **UVic Course Experience Survey - Spring 2018**

Project Audience 7164

Responses Received 2462


Response Ratio 34%

**Creation Date** Mon, Jun 04, 2018




## I Instructor's Teaching - Students' Ratings on the Following Statements:

1. The instructor was prepared for course sessions




Statistics	Value
Response Count	2479
Mean	4.32
Median	5.00
Standard Deviation	0.89

2. The instructor's explanations of concepts were clear




Statistics	Value
Response Count	2476
Mean	3.91
Median	4.00
Standard Deviation	1.13

3. The instructor motivated you to learn in this course




Statistics	Value
Response Count	2476
Mean	3.83
Median	4.00
Standard Deviation	1.19

4. The instructor was available to answer your questions or provide extra assistance as required




Statistics	Value
Response Count	2457
Mean	4.17
Median	4.00
Standard Deviation	0.97

5. The instructor ensured that your assignments and tests were returned within a reasonable time





Statistics	Value
Response Count	2473
Mean	4.04
Median	4.00
Standard Deviation	1.04

6. The instructor was helpful in providing feedback to you to improve your learning in this course



Statistics	Value
Response Count	2466
Mean	3.83
Median	4.00
Standard Deviation	1.11

7. The instructor demonstrated respect for students and their ideas 8. Overall, the instructor was effective in this course




Statistics	Value
Response Count	2463
Mean	4.25
Median	5.00
Standard Deviation	0.95

Statistics	Value
Response Count	2468
Mean	4.02
Median	4.00
Standard Deviation	1.10


## II Course Design - Students' Ratings on the Following Statements:

1. The course structure, goals and requirements were clear




Statistics	Value
Response Count	2443
Mean	3.95
Median	4.00
Standard Deviation	1.01

2. The materials provided for learning the course content (e.g. handouts, posted material, lab manuals) were clear




Statistics	Value
Response Count	2442
Mean	3.83
Median	4.00
Standard Deviation	1.10

3. The assigned work helped your understanding of the course content




Statistics	Value
Response Count	2439
Mean	4.04
Median	4.00
Standard Deviation	1.03

4. The course provided opportunities for you to become engaged with the course material, for example through class discussions, group work, student presentations, on-line chat, or experiential learning




Statistics	Value
Response Count	2424
Mean	3.84
Median	4.00
Standard Deviation	1.09

5. The methods of assessment used to evaluate your learning in the course were fair




Statistics	Value
Response Count	2438
Mean	3.93
Median	4.00
Standard Deviation	1.03

6. The course provided relevant skills and information (e.g. to other courses, your future career, or other contexts)



Statistics	Value
Response Count	2441
Mean	4.06
Median	4.00
Standard Deviation	1.01


7. Overall, the course offered an effective learning experience




Statistics	Value
Response Count	2437
Mean	3.93
Median	4.00
Standard Deviation	1.07

### III Statements About The Students:


#### My primary reason for taking the course.




#### The approximate number of classes or labs that I did not attend




#### Relative to other courses I have taken at UVic, the workload in this course was



#### The approximate number of hours per week I spent studying for this course outside of class time:




#### As a result of my experience in this course, my interest in the material:




### IV Additional Statments:

**The instructor uses teaching aids effectively (blackboard, overheads, visual aids and/or any other technology)**




Statistics	Value
Response Count	451
Mean	4.05
Median	4.00
Standard Deviation	0.87

**If the course had multiple instructors, how does it compare to courses with a single instructor?**




Statistics	Value
Response Count	227
Mean	3.58
Median	3.00
Standard Deviation	0.94

**If the course had a major project worth 20% or more of the final grade, the project contributed to my overall understanding of the course material**



Statistics	Value
Response Count	245
Mean	3.57
Median	3.00
Standard Deviation	1.07


**If the course required team-work, how effective was the team learning experience compared to individual study**



Statistics	Value
Response Count	334
Mean	3.71
Median	4.00
Standard Deviation	0.88




**If the course had a lab, the lab contributed to the overall understanding of the course material**




Statistics	Value
Response Count	273
Mean	3.68
Median	4.00
Mode	4
Standard Deviation	1.02
Population Standard Deviation	1.02
Standard Error (base on SD)	0.06
Standard Error (base on PSD)	0.06

**The instructor displays a good understanding of the material being presented**




Statistics	Value
Response Count	1964
Mean	4.41
Median	5.00
Standard Deviation	0.91

**The instructor uses the blackboard/overhead and/or visual aids effectively**




Statistics	Value
Response Count	1962
Mean	4.04
Median	4.00
Standard Deviation	1.11

**(Courses with labs) The laboratories contributed to my understanding of the course material**




Statistics	Value
Response Count	1491
Mean	3.61
Median	4.00
Standard Deviation	1.22

**(Courses with tutorials) The tutorials contributed to my understanding of the course material**




Statistics	Value
Response Count	1116
Mean	3.71
Median	4.00
Standard Deviation	1.12

**(Courses with a major project, i.e. 20% or more of the final grade) The project contributed to my understanding of the course material**




Statistics	Value
Response Count	986
Mean	3.87
Median	4.00
Standard Deviation	1.03

**The way the assignments were weighted (as a proportion of the final grad) was fair and logical.**




Statistics	Value
Response Count	352
Mean	3.70
Median	4.00
Standard Deviation	0.94

**The workload was manageable and spread evenly throughout the length of the course.**




Statistics	Value
Response Count	380
Mean	3.70
Median	4.00
Standard Deviation	0.85

**The instructor spoke in a clear and concise manner.**




Statistics	Value
Response Count	407
Mean	3.99
Median	4.00
Standard Deviation	1.03

**The course provided a balanced and thorough examination of the subject.**



Statistics	Value
Response Count	381
Mean	3.73
Median	4.00
Standard Deviation	0.99

**Please answer only if you are evaluating a seminar: The instructor adequately guided the discussion so that objectives were met within each class.**



Statistics	Value
Response Count	113
Mean	3.88
Median	4.00
Standard Deviation	1.02

**My Instructor gave time in class to complete this survey.**

Options	Count	Percentage
Yes	1259	56%
No	881	39%
Does not apply (online course, field course, etc.)	93	4%